



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,099	01/23/2004	John Wankmueller	AP35673-070457.1674	8243
21003	7590	08/24/2005	EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			LE, UYEN CHAU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/764,099

Applicant(s)

WANKMUELLER, JOHN

Examiner

Uyen-Chau N. Le

Art Unit

2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fromm (US 6,266,640).

Re claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21 and 23-25: Fromm discloses a method for conducting a secure transaction using voice authentication wherein payment is processed from a payment account comprising: providing a database comprising at least a first voice sample associated with a holder of the payment account (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 7); providing payment account information associated with the payment account, the payment account information to be used for conducting the transaction; transmitting an authentication request including the payment account information to an access control server (i.e., voice verification unit 103) (col. 3, lines 8-24); triggering automatically a telephone call to the holder of the payment account; generating a second voice sample by sampling one or more voice characteristics of the holder of the payment account (col. 3, lines 25-32); and using voice authentication technology to compare the first voice sample to the second voice sample to determine whether the transaction is authorized by the holder of the payment account (col. 3, lines 47-52);

Art Unit: 2876

transmitting an authentication response responsive to the authentication request (col. 3, lines 52-53 and col. 4, lines 34-38); processing payment from the payment account to complete the transaction as a function of the authentication response (col. 4, lines 53+); wherein the payment account information is provided via telephone (col. 4, lines 42+); wherein the payment account information is provided via computer network (col. 3, lines 33-47); The method of claim 1 wherein the authentication request includes at least a device category data field, an authentication request channel data field, a cardholder phone number data field and a voice channel transfer method data field (col. 3, lines 16-32); determining if the holder participates in voice authentication services (col. 3, lines 16-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6, 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fromm in view of Enosh et al (WO 02/071,176 A2). The teachings of Fromm have been discussed above.

Re claims 6, 14 and 22: Fromm has been discussed above but is silent with respect to the authentication request and the authentication response are formatted according to the 3-D Secure authentication protocol.

Enosh et al teaches a financial transaction system having a set of transaction facilitating protocols, each of which may be used either in conjunction with a 3D Secure system, environment or protocol, or on a standalone basis, within a conventional credit card processing system or environment, or with any other association standard which may be used in commerce (abstract).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the 3D secure protocol system of Enosh et al into the system as taught by Fromm in order to provide Fromm with a more secure system with the capability of verifying the card holder ownership during an electronic commerce purchase transaction, preventing the credit card from fraudulent used by an unauthorized person in the event of lost/stolen.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patents to Piotrowski (US 6601762 B2); O'Connell (US 20020035539 A1); Kwan (US 20020147685 A1); Buffum et al (US 20030037004 A1); Waterbury (US 3896266 A); McAllister (US 5513250 A); Seazholtz et al (US 5594789 A); Ramachandran et al (US 6023688 A); Dunlevy (EP 598469 A2) and Enosh et al (WO 2071176 A2) are cited as of interest and illustrate a similar structure to a system and method for secure telephone and computer transactions using voice authentication.

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 5:30AM-2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Uyen-Chau N. Le
Examiner
Art Unit 2876

August 20, 2005